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Abstract
Centrifugal pumps are widely used in many industrial applications. Defective pumps cause higher rate of energy loss with related overall performance degradation. Mechanical components fail due to decrease in pump’s strength or an increase in the force acting on it. Rolling bearings are one of the major components of the centrifugal pumps. Bearings are critical mechanical components in industrial machines. Bearing failure is often attributed to be one of the major causes of breakdown. Early detection of undesired conditions during the operation of the centrifugal pump has become very important in order to avoid consequential damages. Early detection is also vital for increasing availability and reliability. Automated health monitoring can reduce the outage time and repair costs. Using vibration analysis technique to monitor and evaluate the health of the machines has become an important technique in this field. In this research, we studied the vibration signatures of healthy and faulty conditions of the bearings of a pumping system. The pumping system consists of centrifugal pump and electrical motor. The vibration signatures analyze the bearing status with respect to the normal operation. The paper shows how to identify if there is a problem in the outer race of the Bearing Pass Frequency Outer Race (BPFO).
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Introduction
In industrial applications, ball bearings are critical mechanical components and a defect in such component would cause malfunction and may even lead to catastrophic failure of the machinery. Therefore, the condition monitoring of rolling bearings is important. In the last two decades, different methods have been used such as vibration analysis, acoustic
measurements, and temperature measurements. Among these methods, vibration measurements and analysis are widely applied. Consequently, Mathew and Alferdson (1984) built up the procedure of condition monitoring for rolling element bearings by using vibration analysis. The vibration statistics were analyzed and several parameters (Peak Level, RMS Level, Matched Filter RMS, and Kurtosis Factor) have been assessed with reference to their effectiveness in the detection of bearing circumstance. Their conclusion was that each of the parameters has some value contingent upon the kind of bearing failure encountered. Frequency domain parameters were more predictable in the recognition of harm than time domain parameters. Tandon and Choudhury (1997) displayed associate degree analytical model for the vibration reaction of rolling element bearings because of the localized defect. They studied the amplitudes of the serious frequency parts, outer race, the inner race, and one of the rolling elements under axial and radial loads. It predicts the impact of load and pulse form on the vibration amplitude. The instance introduced here predicts a frequency spectrum. This has peaks at characteristic defect frequencies together with a modulation into the outer race rolling elements defect and inner race defect under a radial load. Tandon and Choudhury (1998) introduced an analytical model to conjecture the vibration reactions of rolling bearings because of distributed defects under radial load. Amarnath et al. (2004) built up the method to provide earlier data about progressing malfunctions. This was done to reveal the circumstance of antifriction bearings and to recognize the main points of the severity of defects before they lead to serious catastrophic failure. Many techniques can recognize the different defects in bearings such as time domain analysis, frequency domain analysis, and spike energy analysis. The outcomes of each one of these methods proved that it has the capability to investigate the bearings problems. The frequency spectrum and severity identify the exact nature of defects. Zeki Kiral and Hira Karagulle (2005) studied the defect detection in rolling element bearings structure utilizing the time and frequency domain parameters based on the finite element vibration analysis. They found that the defect position on the outer ring, number of defects and defect locations, on the inner ring or on the rolling element, and the shaft speed affect the statistical indices. Furthermore, the envelope method is effectively used to detect the outer and inner ring defects, but it is not easy to detect rolling element defects via envelope and band ratio. Shinde et al. (2014) concentrated on the detection of a localized defect in a double row ball bearing. The frequency domain approach was used followed by experimentation for the detection of vibration signal in which single point defects are artificially created on the ball, the inner race, and outer race. The data clarify that the amplitude increases together with increasing the speed and the detect size and, however, it decreases with growing load. They used
the Frequency Surface Response (FSR) to estimate the amplitudes. They found that there is a good agreement between the experimental and estimated values. Brian Graney and Ken Starry (2012) presented a paper on Rolling Element Bearing Analysis. This paper is focused on bearing failure, which occurs randomly due to its wear. The dynamic forces and lubrication problem may accelerate this wear. Ravindra Tarle et al. (2015) presented a project to address design, experimentation, and validation analysis of fault diagnosis of ball bearing related to rotor system. They presented a detail analysis using FFT methodology to find out the possible faults and finally validate with MATLAB software. They identified the faults on a single rotor test rig. In addition to this, they applied the lubrication analysis by using FFT analyzer for bearing with lack of lubrication and bearing with lubrication. Ebrahim Ebrahimi (2012) presented an experimental study regarding the good shape of ball bearings and localized defect in the outer race ball bearings under different levels of faults, various load, and speed conditions. Sharma et al. (2011) carried out an experimental study of a rotor-bearing system to obtain the vibration response due to localized defects such as spall on outer race, inner race, and roller. The results of vibration responses showed that every defect excites the system at its characteristic frequency. Response surface methodology also shows that the severe vibration (Max. peak of vibration excitation) occurs in case of bearing with outer race defect. In addition, interaction of outer and inner race defect produces severe vibrations. A defect in roller has less effect on the amplitude of vibration.

**Rolling Bearings Elements**

A rolling element bearing consist of the outer race, cage, the inner race, and rolling elements. Any part of the bearing can be defected and cause high-frequency vibrations. In many cases, it is probably to recognize the bearing component that defects because of excited specific vibration frequencies. It is easy to detect the raceways and rolling elements defects but the crop up in bearing cages cannot be detected easily. Although many methods are available to detect the position of the defect, there are no established methods to expect when the bearing defect occur and turn into a functional failure. The frequencies of the defect bearing are not integrally harmonic with the running speed. There is also commercial software that has a bearing database available that readily provides the values upon entering the requisite bearing number. However, to detect the bearing defect frequencies, the following formulas are used.

\[
BPFI = \frac{Nb}{2} \left(1 + \frac{\theta d}{\pi d} \cos(\theta)\right) \text{rpm}
\]

(1)
\[ BPFO = \frac{N_b}{2} \left(1 - \frac{B_d}{P_d} \cos(\theta)\right) \text{rpm} \]  
(2)

\[ FTF = \frac{1}{2} \left(1 - \frac{B_d}{P_d} \cos(\theta)\right) \text{rpm} \]  
(3)

\[ BSF = \frac{P_d}{2B_d} \left(1 - \left(\frac{B_d}{P_d}\right)^2 \cos^2(\theta)\right) \text{rpm} \]  
(4)

Bearing damage progresses through four stages: The first stage is just high-frequency vibration. At the second stage, the resonance frequencies of the bearing are observed. Discrete frequencies can be seen at the third stage. At the fourth stage, a high-frequency random noise that keeps broadening and rising in average amplitude with increased fault severity will be observed.

**Stage 1 of Bearing Defect**

The FFT spectrum for bearing defects can be split into four zones (A, B, C, and D). These zones are described as:

Zone A: Harmonics zone and machine rpm  
Zone B: Bearing defect frequencies zone (5000-30000 CPM)  
Zone C: Bearing component natural frequencies zone (30000–120000 CPM)  
Zone D: High-Frequency-Detection (HFD) zone (beyond 120000 CPM).

The first indications of bearing wear show up in the ultrasonic frequency which ranges from approximately 20–60 kHz (120000–360000 CPM). As shown in Figure 1, there is no visible defects in the bearing raceways or rolling elements during the first stage but the shine of a new bearing for the raceway no longer exist and may appear dull gray (Paresh Girdha, 2004).

![Figure 1. Small defects in the raceways of a bearing](image)
Stage 2 of Bearing Defect

In the following stage, as shown in Figure 2, minute pits begin to develop due to the fatigued raceways. During passing, the rolling elements over these pits and the ringing or the bearing component natural frequencies are generated that predominantly occur in the range of 30000–1200000 CPM range. At the end of stage two, it is possible that the sideband frequencies (bearing defect frequency ±rpm) appear above and below the natural frequency peak depending on the severity (Paresh Girdha, 2004).

Stage 3 of Bearing Defect

In the third stage, as shown in Figure 3, the discrete bearing frequencies and harmonics are visible, and this may appear with several sidebands. Wear is usually now visible on the bearing and may expand through to the edge of the bearing raceway. The earlier stage minute pits are now developed into bigger pits and their numbers also increase. Thus, it is usually advisable for the bearing at this stage to be replaced.

![Figure 2. More obvious wear in the form of pits](image)

![Figure 3. Wear is now clearly visible over the breadth of the bearing](image)
Stage 4 of Bearing Defect

In the last stage, as shown in Figure 4, the pits converge with each other, developing rough tracks and spelling of the bearing raceways or/and rolling elements. The bearing is among extremely harmed circumstance now. Even the amplitude of the 1X rpm component will rise. In addition, many running speed harmonics will increase as 1X rpm increase. It will cause the rotor displacement to be higher as the higher clearance in the bearing. Bearing component natural frequencies and discrete bearing defect frequencies begin to merge into a random and a broadband high-frequency ‘noise floor’. Initially, as the broad noise is large, average amplitude may happen. However, the noise width will increase, and the average amplitude will drop. Finally, the amplitude will rise again and the span of the noise floor increases (Paresh Girdha, 2004).

![Figure 4. Severely damaged bearing at the final stage of wear](image)

However, amplitudes of the high-frequency noise floor and some of the HFD may decrease (due to pits flattening to become spalls). Nevertheless, prior to failure spike, energy will usually grow to extreme amplitudes. By this time, the bearing will make a lot of noise and generate excessive vibration and it will become hot. If it can run further, the rolling elements will go loose, and the cage will break. Under the bearing area, there will be serious damage to the shaft area after the bearing elements run into each other, tuning, twisting, and welded to each other making the machine to trip on overload (ISO 10816-7).

Data Acquisition System

Centrifugal pump (seawater pump 1029A Figure 5) consists of the electric motor as a prime mover connected to the pump with fixable coupling. The pump consists of four stages. The motor speed is 2900 rpm and its rated power is 132 kilowatts. This pumping system uses sea water. In this case, the pump was investigated due to an alarming abnormal sound. The inspection showed that the source of abnormal noise was from the motor. Two types of bearings (SKF 7309 and SKF 6308) support the pump shaft. Two bearings (SKF 6208) support the motor shaft. The monitoring system consists of (Data Collector/Analyzer) analyzer type DLI Watchman. DCA-31™B was coupled
with Expert ALERT™ software with two-channel configurations. The accelerometer type ICP (Integrated Circuit Piezoelectric) is piezoelectric. The accelerometer measures the vibration signals for three directions (axial, horizontal and vertical) at four points for the pump and motor. The schematic diagram of the pump system and the location of the accelerometer are shown in Figure 6. The accelerometers are arranged in the following order: motor NDE 1, motor DE 2, pump DE 3, and pump NDE 4. The accelerometer is mounted using a magnet and positioned perpendicular to the alternator bearing housing.

![Figure 5. Sea water pump 1029 A](image)

![Figure 6. The point of measurement at the four bearing’s locations](image)

**Bearing Specifications**

The equations 1 to 4 calculates the bearing frequencies. The summary of these calculations is shown on Table 1. Overall vibration levels are measured and analyzed at both the normal and faulty conditions on different dates. The results are compared with ISO10816-7. The defects are also
identified through vibration analysis by finding the difference in amplitudes.

**Table 1.** The Frequency of the Faulty Bearings’ Components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Bearing #</th>
<th>SKF 6208</th>
<th>SKF 7309</th>
<th>SKF 6308</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>No. of balls NB</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ball diameter (mm) BD</td>
<td>4.68</td>
<td>6.87</td>
<td>5.93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch diameter (mm)PD</td>
<td>23.62</td>
<td>28.54</td>
<td>25.59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pitch angle, θ</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPFI</td>
<td>5.39 X</td>
<td>7.25 X</td>
<td>4.93 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BPFO</td>
<td>3.61 X</td>
<td>4.75 X</td>
<td>3.07 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FTF</td>
<td>0.40 X</td>
<td>0.40 X</td>
<td>0.38 X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSF</td>
<td>2.42 X</td>
<td>1.99 X</td>
<td>2.04 X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Measurements & Discussion**

**Normal Operation**

The frequency spectrums of the healthy pump were recorded. Consequently, it can be used as baseline data for future analysis. This spectrum is shown in the Figures 7, 8, and 9. The signals are measured at three directions (axial, vertical and horizontal) for the pump’s bearings and motor’s bearings. The graphs show normal overall reading for all bearings. Table 2 shows the normal readings compared with alarm levels of the pump and motor at the four positions of the pumping system (Motor NDE, Motor DE, Pump DE and Pump NDE) which is at the three axes (V, H, A). It can be seen that these readings are normal.

**Table 2.** The normal overall reading

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Motor NDE</th>
<th>Motor DE</th>
<th>Pump DE</th>
<th>Pump NDE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>V</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>A</td>
<td>V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unit</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Alarm</strong></td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>4.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Critical</strong></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Normal Reading</strong></td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>1.77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Faulty</strong></td>
<td>3.42</td>
<td>3.55</td>
<td>1.69</td>
<td>2.84</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 7. Spectrum of Motor NDE

Figure 8. Spectrum of Pump DE

Figure 7 shows the spectrum of the motor. Also, we see that the maximum amplitude (1.2 mm/s) in the vertical direction at 2X is the highest amplitude. As shown in this figure, the amplitude values of the axial, vertical, and horizontal at the FTF are 0.021, 0.012 and 0.06 mm/s, respectively. BSF are 0.007, 0.036 and 0.023 mm/s, BPFO are 0.088, 0.204 and 0.432 mm/s, and BPFI are 0.00908, 0.016 and 0.0139 mm/s, respectively. It is obvious that this case represents a normal case. Additionally, Figure 8 shows the spectrum of the pump DE. It is apparent that the maximum amplitude (0.79 mm/s) in the
vertical direction at 1X is the highest amplitude. As presented in this figure, the amplitude values of the axial, vertical, and horizontal at the FTF are 0.0290, 0.0162 and 0.0202 mm/s, BSF are 0.0086, 0.0946 and 0.0109 mm/s, BPFO are 0.0476, 0.030 and 0.032 mm/s, and BPFI are 0.0058, 0.038 and 0.0095 mm/s, respectively. It is observable that this case represents a normal case. Figure 9 shows the spectrum of the pump NDE. The maximum amplitude (0.63 mm/s) in the vertical direction at 1X is the highest amplitude. As displayed in this figure, the amplitude values of the axial, vertical, and horizontal at the FTF are 0.0489, 0.0341 and 0.014 mm/s, BSF are 0.596, 0.24 and 0.215 mm/s, BPFO are 0.00747, 0.0204 and 0.025 mm/s, and BPFI are 0.0098, 0.012 and 0.0139 mm/s, respectively. It is noticeable that this case represents a normal case.

![Image 75x270 to 441x491]

**Figure 9.** Spectrum of Pump NDE

**Abnormal Operation**

The frequency spectrum of the faulty pump was recorded, and Figure 10-13 show the frequency response in the faulty condition. Table 2 also shows the amplitude measurement readings at the abnormal operation at the four positions at the three axes. These readings are compared with the alarm and the critical limits. It is obvious that the readings are still under alarm levels.

Figure 10 shows the spectrum of the motor DE. The maximum amplitude (2.2 mm/s) in the vertical direction at 2X is the highest amplitude. As shown in this figure, the amplitude values of the axial, vertical, and horizontal at the FTF are 0.034, 0.029 and 0.074 mm/s, BSF are 0.0305, 0.055 and 0.065 mm/s, BPFO are 0.169, 0.73 and 0.15 mm/s, and BPFI are 0.01106, 0.00915 and 0.0129 mm/s, respectively. It is observed that this case represents a normal case. Furthermore, Figure 11 shows the spectrum of the motor NDE.
The maximum amplitude (2.56 mm/s) in the horizontal direction at 3.61X is the highest amplitude. As seen in this figure, the amplitude values of the axial, vertical, and horizontal at the FTF are 0.0138, 0.0145 and 0.0616 mm/s, BSF are 0.0232, 0.0209 and 0.0669 mm/s, BPFO are 0.328, 2.24 and 2.86 mm/s, and BPI are 0.0151, 0.0180 and 0.0216 mm/s, respectively. It is important to note that there is a change in the BPFO values at vertical and horizontal directions. Figure 12 shows the spectrum of the pump DE. The maximum amplitude (1.38mm/s) in the axial direction at 4.17X is the highest amplitude which is normal. It is also noted that the amplitude values of the axial, vertical, and horizontal at the FTF are 0.046, 0.082 and 0.109 mm/s, BSF are 0.0166, 0.0845 and 0.0119 mm/s, BPFO are 0.103, 0.038 and 0.0308 mm/s, and BPI are 0.053, 0.0235 and 0.050 mm/s, respectively. Figure 13 shows the spectrum of the pump NDE. The maximum amplitude (3.05 mm/s) in the horizontal direction at 4.17X is the highest amplitude. Also, it is worthy to note that the amplitude value of the axial, vertical, and horizontal at the FTF are 0.0172, 0.00242 and 0.03719 mm/s, BSF are 0.59, 0.313 and 0.1005 mm/s, BPFO are 0.023, 0.043 and 0.0424 mm/s, and BPI are 0.079, 0.086 and 0.046 mm/s, respectively.

Figure 10. Spectrum of Motor DE
Figure 11. Spectrum of Motor NDE

Figure 12. Spectrum of Pump DE
Figure 13. Spectrum of Pump NDE

Figure 14-17 show a comparison between the normal spectra (blue color) and abnormal spectra (red color) at the four positions. Figure 14 shows that there are slight changes observed in the vertical and horizontal direction at 2X, but this change is not effective. Figure 15 shows that there is a change in vertical and horizontal direction at 3.61X BPFO frequency. Figure 16 also shows that there are slightly changes seen in the vertical and horizontal direction at 2X, but this change is not effective. Figure 17 shows that the reading is very close, but there is a peak at frequency 4.17X with amplitude 3.05 mm/s which appears at the faulty pump.
Figure 14. Comparison between Normal (blue color) and Abnormal (red color) Reading for Motor DE

Figure 15. Spectrum Comparison between Normal (blue color) and Abnormal (red color) Reading for Motor NDE
**Figure 16.** Spectrum Comparison between Normal (blue color) and Abnormal (red color) Reading for Pump DE

**Figure 17.** Spectrum comparison between normal (blue color) and abnormal (red color) reading for pump NDE
Vibration spectrum which compares the maximum average amplitudes between the normal and abnormal cases for all positions in three directions as shown in Figure 15-19 show the normal condition in "blue color" and the abnormal condition in "red color". The x-axis is in ordered scale, where the order “1” represents the rotational rate of the motor. The y-axis is in “mm/s” scale for the amplitude after analyzing and comparing the spectrum of the three axes of the Motor NDE. We noted that the highest amplitudes peak was
at the vertical and horizontal directions at a frequency of 3.61X. It appears that
the amplitude of the normal readings was axial=0.088mm, vertical=0.204mm,
and horizontal=0.43mm. This became an abnormal reading at axial=0.32mm,
vertical=2.24mm, and horizontal=2.5mm. This frequency at 3.61X is
identified as the ball pass frequency outer race (BPFO) of the SKF 6208
bearing. This change in the amplitude of the frequency (BPFO) indicates that
the bearing is likely to be suffering from a wear problem and is entering stage
3 of bearing failure. It is recommended that the bearing should be replaced
before the deterioration enters stage 4 or the catastrophic stage. Figure 18
shows the time waveform of the motor NDE and the pluses due to the impact
at the PBFO frequency. Figure 19 shows the comparison between the alarm
levels (black color), the critical levels (orange color), overall normal readings
(blue color), and the abnormal readings (red color). It has obviously seen that
the readings changed but did not exceed the alarm levels or the critical level.
However, there is a difference between the normal readings on all the bearings.
It also shows the abnormal readings compared with both normal and alarm
level.

Conclusion

The remaining life of the bearings can be estimated using vibrational
behavior and running time of the bearings. Due to the bearing wear, the
failures of the bearing occur randomly, and the lubrication problem and the
dynamic forces can be accelerated through this wear. Usually, the first discrete
bearing frequency and the highest probability of wear happened at the inner
race and the outer raceway. For the outer races, the progression of wear allows
for trending where the load zone is relatively small with respect to the pitch
diameter. Any change in the amplitude at the bearing component frequencies
is considered an indication of the beginning of component failure.
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Appendix (ISO 10816) [12]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Machine</th>
<th>Class I</th>
<th>Class II</th>
<th>Class III</th>
<th>Class IV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>small machines</td>
<td>medium machines</td>
<td>large rigid foundation</td>
<td>large soft foundation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>in/s</td>
<td>mm/s</td>
<td>good</td>
<td>satisfactory</td>
<td>unsatisfactory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.03</td>
<td>0.71</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>1.12</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.07</td>
<td>1.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>2.80</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.18</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>7.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.44</td>
<td>11.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.71</td>
<td>28.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.10</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation

A Axial
BPFI Bearing Pass Frequency-Inner Race
BPFO Bearing Pass Frequency Outer Race
BSF Bearing Spin Frequency (Balls)
CPM Cycle Per Minute
DE Drive End
DSS Deflected Shaped Shaft
FFT Fast Fourier Transformation
FTF Fundamental Train Frequency Cage
H Horizontal
HFD High Frequency Detection
HP High Pressure

θ Contact Angle in Degrees
MDE Motor Drive End
MNDE Motor Non-Drive End
NDE Non-Drive End
PDE Pump Drive End
PNDE Pump Non-Drive End
RMS Root Mean Square
rpm revolution per minute
V Vertical
Pd Bearing Pitch Diameter
Nb Number of Balls or Rollers
1X 1st order of the frequency